



**SPL-LABMAT s.r.o.**  
SPL – služby pro laboratoře  
1. máje 432, 735 31 Bohumín,  
Česká republika  
tel: +420 596 014 627  
[info@spl-labmat.cz](mailto:info@spl-labmat.cz)  
[www.spl-labmat.cz](http://www.spl-labmat.cz)

Proficiency testing provider

# Report

(final)

**on the evaluation of the proficiency testing programme**

**PT 33/9A** (*RM S-5A*)

**Determination of Si, C, Al, Mn, P, Cr, Ti, Ca, Sr, Ba  
in ferrosilicon inoculant by X-Ray Fluorescence spectrometries and  
wet-way analysis, C on combustion analysers by IR absorption**

Issued on December 3rd, 2025  
Martin Bogumský, SPL-LABMAT s.r.o.

**SPL-LABMAT s.r.o.**  
1. máje 432  
735 31 Bohumín, CZ  
IČO: 05480570, DIČ: CZ06480570  
[www.spl-labmat.cz](http://www.spl-labmat.cz)  
e-mail: [info@spl-labmat.cz](mailto:info@spl-labmat.cz)



## Contents

<b>1.</b>	<b>General information on PT.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>Confidentiality agreement .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3.</b>	<b>Abbreviations, definitions, signs .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>General principles of PT.....</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>4.1 PT schedule .....</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>4.2 Conditions of participations in PT .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>Preparation and homogeneity of tested items .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>6.</b>	<b>Statistic evaluation and performance standards .....</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>6.1 Reference value and related uncertainty .....</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>6.2 Performance evaluation .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>7.</b>	<b>PT evaluation results summary .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>8.</b>	<b>Conclusion .....</b>	<b>9</b>

## 1. General information on PT (table 1)

<b>Label</b>	PT 33/9A
<b>Object of PT</b>	Determination of Si, C, Al, Mn, P, Cr, Ti, Ca, Sr, Ba in ferrosilicon inoculant
<b>Assessment method(s)</b>	X-Ray fluorescence spectrometry and validated wet-way and for C combustion methods
<b>Item of proficiency testing:</b>	Ferrosilicon inoculant, sample PT 33/9A
<b>Date of testing</b>	September-October, 2025
<b>Name and address of the PT provider</b>	SPL-LABMAT s.r.o. 1. máje 432, 735 31 Bohumín, www.spl-labmat.cz Tel: 596014627, e-mail: <a href="mailto:info@spl-labmat.cz">info@spl-labmat.cz</a>
<b>Responsible person</b>	Ing. Martin Bogumský
<b>Colaborator(s)</b>	Ing. Denisa Kupczynová, René Piszczek
<b>Operations provided by subcontractors</b>	Providing of candidate material, preliminary chemical analysis and homogeneity analysis
<b>Number of participants</b>	13
<b>Test item description</b>	Plastic PET bottle, contents 70g
<b>Number of test items</b>	25 pcs of bottles
<b>Technique of sample preparation</b>	Material was crushed <0.2mm, sieved and homogenised
<b>Item and result distribution</b>	Post and transport services, e-mail and webpages
<b>Result acceptance</b>	Electronic in provided forms (MS Excel files)
<b>Performance assessment standards</b>	Performance assessment based on z-scoring. Result z-score with $-2 \leq Z_{PT} \leq 2$ were considered satisfactory for all parameters. Reference values assessed as consensual with the values provided by participants. In all cases, this was equal to the arithmetic mean of the results after outliers exclusion by Grubbs' test. Standard deviation.
<b>Homogeneity and stability</b>	Material homogeneity was tested in the laboratory Enviform a.s. Measurements were done for three final samples, five determinations of each, 15 in total. For homogeneity assessments and standard deviation calculation, the statistical method ANOVA was employed for all assessments. Material homogeneity as compared with the norms for assessment of elements proved satisfactory for the PT. Homogeneity assessments were done for all elements. Given the character of the tested items, any influences of time and environment instability are excluded.

## 2. Confidentiality agreement

The provider of PT declares that all information and data pertinent to the individual participants are considered confidential and dealt with accordingly. Participant code numbers are assigned at random for each participant and each PT independently. In the final report, results are identified by code numbers only and are therefore anonymous.

## 3. Abbreviations, definitions and signs

PT	proficiency testing
PT XX/XX	item labelling (samples) for PT

### Participant in PT

laboratory, company or private person who receives the items for PT and submits the results to the PT provider

### Accepted laboratory result

laboratory result which has not been excluded as outlying

$x$	laboratory result representing the arithmetic mean of (usually) five results submitted by participants
$s$	selected standard deviation for five laboratory assessments for a given element
$t_{5;0,05}$	confidence level for five assessments for the reliability level 95%
$u$	repeatability of five results for one participant, $u = \pm \frac{t_{5;0,05}}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot s$
$n$	number of participants involved in the statistical data set after exclusion of outliers
$X$	reference value, see 6.1 for details on assessment
$X_{Ref}$	reference value calculated as mean of the values of selected participating laboratories
$X_{PT}$	consensual estimate of the mean value of laboratory results performed according to a method suitable for their distribution
$s_{PT}$	selected standard deviation from the statistical data set in PT
$s_N$	selected standard deviation as listed in the norm for determination of the given element
$Z_{PT}$	z-score derived from $s_{PT}$ , see chapter 6.2

$Z_N$	z-score derived from $s_N$ , see chapter 6.2
$U$	Uncertainty of the reference value, extended uncertainty (extension factor=2) in the sense of the ISO Guide to the Expression of the Uncertainty of Measurement (1993), dependent on the standard deviation of the laboratory results. This is expressed as a one half of a $\pm$ interval.

## 4. General principles of the PT

PT was organized, executed and evaluated according to the ČSN EN ISO/IEC 17043:2010.

### 4.1 PT schedule

Information about the PT were made public on the web site of the provider. Those laboratories that had previously expressed interest in receiving information on the next PT were informed by email. Instructions for participants were part of the PT programme and were also distributed along with the test item. The sample remains property of the participant once the PT has been concluded.

### 4.2 Conditions for PT participation

By agreeing to participate in the PT, the participants committed to deliver within the designated period five parallel results of analyses, performed under the repeatability conditions (i.e. performed with the exact same equipment in an immediate and uninterrupted sequence). According to the requirements of the norm ČSN EN ISO/IEC 17043:2010 every measurement for the PT should be performed as a routine measurement that is under the same conditions and procedures as are usual in the everyday operation of the laboratory. The assessment method and (alternatively) the category and type of equipment are given by the participant in the PT protocol which was publicly accessible during the PT period at the web site of the provider [www.spl-labmat.cz](http://www.spl-labmat.cz).

Based on the experience of the previous years, all the results submitted by participants, regardless of the method and equipment used, were included in the statistical data set. For evaluation, outlier exclusion was employed or alternatively robust statistics for elimination of the influence of outliers on the reference value and corresponding uncertainty.

**Once the PT has been completed, the participants are provided:**

**Final report** on the evaluation incl. evaluation of individual analytes.

**Certificate** of participation on the PT including two annexes.

**Certificate of chemical analysis** for new reference material

## 5. Preparation and homogeneity of the test items

Details concerning the preparation of the test items and measurements of homogeneity for this PT are presented in the **table 1 – general information on the PT**.

For the evaluation of homogeneity, we have employed our own programme using the statistical method ANOVA along with calculation of the standard deviation  $s$  from all assessments and their

comparison to the usual values according to the norms or previous PTs. As the criterion of sufficient homogeneity for the purpose of the PT, the condition to be met is  $s < 0,5 s_N$ , where  $s$  is the standard deviation in the measurement of homogeneity and  $s_N$  is the standard deviation according to the norm. Where no valid norm is available, an older norm with expired validity or a norm used in another country can be consulted, or comparison with values from previous PTs for similar materials. In case the  $s < 0,5 s_N$  criterion is not met for some of the assessed parameters, non-homogeneity contribution can be taken into account by increasing  $s_{PT}$  and  $s_N$  for  $z$ -scoring assessment, in the following manner:

$$s_{PT}^* = \sqrt{s_{PT}^2 + s^2}$$

$$s_N^* = \sqrt{s_N^2 + s^2}$$

## 6. Statistic evaluation and performance standards

### 6.1 Reference value and related uncertainty

The statistical methods employed were used according to the norms ISO 13528:2005, ČSN ISO 5725-2:1997 a ČSN ISO 2602:1993.

Mean value of five results submitted by a single contributor is the **laboratory mean  $x$** , which is equivalent to the arithmetic mean from five measurements and which represents the result of the laboratory for individual elements. The value of the measurement **uncertainty  $u$**  (repeatability), calculated from five assessments of a single laboratory, is also given.

This laboratory mean is given in bold on the pages with evaluation results for individual elements and it is used for subsequent statistical evaluation of laboratory results – that is statistical data sets for individual elements. Uncertainty  $u$  is given in the column on the right along with the laboratory mean  $x$ .

On the basis of this data set, the reference value is assessed and also the corresponding uncertainty. Also, if need be, Grubbs test according to the ČSN ISO 5725-2 is used to eliminate outlying results. Given the usual character of results in the provider's PT, in great majority of cases **consensual estimate of the reference value  $X_{PT}$**  is used. This can be calculated according to several statistical methods according to the algorithm given below. In this case the reference value on the page is marked  $\hat{X} = X_{PT}$ .

Where the provider considers it appropriate, in unique cases the reference value can be established on the basis of the results from selected laboratories with a long history of reliable results, where the probability of correct determination is higher. In these cases reference value in the table is marked as  $\hat{X} = X_{Ref}$ .


### Basic statistical terms used

$n$	number of laboratories
$p_i$	number of assessments in $i$ -th laboratory
$y_{ik}$	result of $k$ -th assessment in the $i$ -th laboratory
$i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$	laboratory index
$k \in \{1, \dots, p_i\}$	assessment index in the $i$ -th laboratory
$x_i = \bar{y} = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{k=1}^{p_i} y_{ik}$	mean value of assessment in th $i$ -th laboratory
$\bar{y} = \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$	mean value of evaluation from all laboratories
$s_i^2 = \frac{1}{p_i-1} \sum_{k=1}^{p_i} (y_{ik} - \bar{y}_i)^2$	variance in $i$ -th laboratory
$s_{PT}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$	value variance from all laboratories
$s_i = \sqrt{\frac{1}{p_i-1} \sum_{k=1}^{p_i} (y_{ik} - \bar{y}_i)^2}$	selected standard deviation of individual laboratory results
$s_{PT} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^p (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$	selected standard deviation of the data set from all participating laboratories in PT
$u_i = \pm \frac{t_{5;0,05}}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot s_i$	determination uncertainty (repeatability)
$U = \pm \frac{t_{5;0,05}}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot s_{PT}$	reference value uncertainty

### Reference to the statistical methods used for the assessment of the reference value and its uncertainty

- **Arithmetic mean for elimination of outlying values by the Grubbs' test** according to ČSN ISO 5725-2.
- Robust method analysis based on median regression **MAD** (Montfort, M.A.J.von, Commun. Soil. Sci. Plant. Anal. 27, 463-468 (1996). This method is employed whenever the difference between AVG a MAD is above  $0,5s_{PT}$  and at the same time, the number of laboratory means submitted is above 15.
- **Horn's procedures** (J. Horn, J. Am. Stat. Assoc., Volume 78, Page 930 (1983). Consensual reference value, robust method suitable for a smaller number of accepted laboratory means. It is being employed under the same conditions as the MAD, only for a lower number of accepted laboratory means, between 8 and 14. Whenever the number of laboratories is equal to or below 7 reference value is not assessed, or alternatively only as an informative value.

**Evaluation algorithm:**



## 6.2 Performance evaluation

z-scoring is done both for **subjective**  $Z_{PT}$ , i.e. calculated from the participants' data set – deviation  $s_{PT}$

$$Z_{PT} = \frac{x - X}{s_{PT}}$$

and **objective**  $Z_N$ , based on the deviation given above derived from the norm  $s_N$  (if available), where

$$Z_N = \frac{x - X}{s_N}$$

If the  $s_N$  deviation is not available, subjective z-scoring  $Z_{PT}$  is used to assess the performance of the laboratory.

**If the  $s_N$  deviation is available, the objective z-scoring  $Z_N$  is used to evaluate the performance and the subjective z-score  $Z_{PT}$  has only informative value.** In a very limited number of cases, where the variability of laboratory means was larger, the subjective z-scoring was applied as criterion for individual elements.

Performance value of  $|Z_{PT}| \leq 2$  is considered **„satisfactory“**

Performance value of  $2 < |Z_{PT}| \leq 3$  is considered **„problematic“**

Performance value of  $|Z_{PT}| > 3$  is considered **“unsuitable”**.

**Problematic** performance value calls for attention, unsuitable performance calls for correction.

## 7. PT evaluation results summary

**Table 2**

<b>Element</b>	<b><math>X</math></b> [%wt.]	<b><math>U</math></b> [%wt.]	<b><math>s_{PT}</math></b> [%wt.]	<b><math>s_N</math></b> [%wt.]	<b>No of laboratories in the data set</b>	<b>Overall No of laboratories</b>	<b>No of laboratories where the criteria were exceeded</b>
<b>Si</b>	<b>65.9</b>	1.2	1.9		12	12	0
<b>C</b>	<i>0.107</i>				5	7	0
<b>Al</b>	<b>1.423</b>	0.119	0.166		10	10	0
<b>Mn</b>	<b>0.424</b>	0.027	0.038		10	10	0
<b>P</b>	<i>0.0188</i>				5	5	0
<b>Cr</b>	<b>0.053</b>	0.007	0.009		9	9	0
<b>Ti</b>	<i>0.067</i>				6	6	0
<b>Ca</b>	<i>1.20</i>				8	8	0
<b>Sr</b>	<i>0.041</i>				4	4	0
<b>Ba</b>	<b>2.24</b>	0.13	0.14		7	7	1

$X$  – reference value

$U$  – reference value uncertainty

$s_{PT}$  – data set standard deviation

$s_N$  – standard deviation according to the norm

## 8. Conclusion

Names of participating laboratories are stated in the Certificate of chemical analysis. Reference material produced as the result of PT 33/9A is named **S-5A**.


## PT 33/9A - Si

### Results, statistical parameters and scoring

### Výsledky, statistické parametry a scoring

Code	Method	Individual results % [wt. %], Jednotlivé výsledky [hm. %]					x [%]	u [%]	Z <sub>PT</sub>
Kód	Metoda	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	average	repeatability	Z-score <sub>PT</sub>
3		-							
9	Gravimetric	63,6	63,2	63,5	63,3	63,4	<b>63,4</b>	0,2	-1,32
2	XRF	63,5	63,4	63,4	63,5	63,4	<b>63,4</b>	0,1	-1,32
7	XRF	63,3	63,0	63,7	64,1	64,5	<b>63,7</b>	0,8	-1,16
8	XRF	64,4	64,4	64,6	64,4	64,4	<b>64,4</b>	0,1	-0,79
6	Volumetric	65,9	66,0	66,0	65,9	66,0	<b>66,0</b>	0,1	0,05
4	Gravimetric	66,2	66,1	66,1	65,9	66,1	<b>66,1</b>	0,1	0,11
12	Gravimetric	65,3	67,2	65,4	65,5	67,4	<b>66,2</b>	1,3	0,16
10	Gravimetric	66,2	66,1	66,3	67,2	66,9	<b>66,5</b>	0,6	0,32
13	XRF	66,7	66,7	65,8	66,9	66,8	<b>66,6</b>	0,6	0,37
11	XRF	67,3	67,1	66,7			<b>67,0</b>	0,7	0,58
1	XRF-mobil	68,5	68,6	68,6	68,5	68,6	<b>68,6</b>	0,1	1,42
5	XRF	69,5	69,1	69,1			<b>69,3</b>	0,6	1,79

n	$\bar{X} = X_{PT}$ [%]	S <sub>PT</sub> [%]	S <sub>N</sub> [%]	U [%]
12	65,9	1,9		1,2



# PT 33/9A - C

## Results, statistical parameters and scoring

### Výsledky, statistické parametry a scoring

Code	Method	Individual results % [wt. %], Jednotlivé výsledky [hm. %]					x [%]	u [%]
Kód	Metoda	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	average	repeatability
1		-						
5		-						
6		-						
9		-						
10		-						
12		-						
13*	IR	0,028	0,038	0,039			<b>0,035</b>	0,015
11*	IR	0,056	0,059	0,052			<b>0,056</b>	0,009
4	IR	0,104	0,097	0,088	0,089	0,097	<b>0,095</b>	0,008
3	IR	0,101	0,105	0,100	0,099	0,098	<b>0,101</b>	0,003
2	IR	0,113	0,111	0,108	0,108	0,111	<b>0,110</b>	0,003
8	IR	0,115	0,110	0,117	0,115	0,114	<b>0,114</b>	0,003
7	IR	0,122	0,112	0,119	0,113	0,116	<b>0,116</b>	0,005

\* - result excluded as outlier

\* - výsledek vyloučen jako odlehlý

n	$\hat{X} = X_{PT}$ [%]	$S_{PT}$ [%]	$S_N$ [%]	U [%]
5	0,107			


# PT 33/9A - AI

## Results, statistical parameters and scoring

### Výsledky, statistické parametry a scoring

Code	Method	Individual results % [wt. %], Jednotlivé výsledky [hm. %]					x [%]	u [%]	Z <sub>PT</sub>
Kód	Metoda	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	average	repeatability	Z-score <sub>PT</sub>
3		-							
5		-							
11		-							
12	Titrimetric	1,180	1,210	1,190	1,180	1,200	<b>1,192</b>	0,016	-1,39
10	Titrimetric	1,203	1,194	1,212	1,197	1,231	<b>1,207</b>	0,018	-1,30
6	ICP	1,350	1,330	1,400	1,370	1,360	<b>1,362</b>	0,032	-0,37
2	XRF	1,372	1,368	1,369	1,376	1,373	<b>1,372</b>	0,004	-0,31
4	ICP	1,360	1,370	1,360	1,390	1,380	<b>1,372</b>	0,016	-0,31
8	XRF	1,427	1,429	1,440	1,419	1,416	<b>1,426</b>	0,012	0,02
7	XRF	1,509	1,549	1,415	1,449	1,240	<b>1,432</b>	0,148	0,05
9	XRF	1,500	1,520	1,530	1,530	1,520	<b>1,520</b>	0,015	0,58
1	XRF-mobil	1,642	1,692	1,603	1,653	1,621	<b>1,642</b>	0,042	1,32
13	XRF	1,708	1,714	1,695	1,728	1,694	<b>1,708</b>	0,018	1,72

n	$\bar{X} = X_{PT}$ [%]	S <sub>PT</sub> [%]	S <sub>N</sub> [%]	U [%]
10	1,423	0,166		0,119




## PT 33/9A - Mn

### Results, statistical parameters and scoring

### Výsledky, statistické parametry a scoring

Code	Method	Individual results % [wt. %], Jednotlivé výsledky [hm. %]					x [%]	u [%]	Z <sub>PT</sub>
Kód	Metoda	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	average	repeatability	Z-score <sub>PT</sub>
3		-							
10		-							
12		-							
1	XRF-mobil	0,360	0,365	0,357	0,363	0,356	<b>0,360</b>	0,005	-1,68
11	XRF	0,366	0,374	0,378			<b>0,373</b>	0,015	-1,34
9	XRF	0,400	0,400	0,410	0,400	0,400	<b>0,402</b>	0,006	-0,58
5	XRF	0,417	0,409	0,416			<b>0,414</b>	0,011	-0,26
8	XRF	0,417	0,417	0,420	0,422	0,421	<b>0,419</b>	0,003	-0,13
6	ICP	0,430	0,430	0,430	0,440	0,440	<b>0,434</b>	0,007	0,26
7	XRF	0,434	0,428	0,467	0,444	0,435	<b>0,442</b>	0,019	0,47
13	XRF	0,457	0,456	0,460	0,450	0,468	<b>0,458</b>	0,008	0,89
2	XRF	0,464	0,464	0,464	0,465	0,466	<b>0,465</b>	0,001	1,08
4	ICP	0,471	0,472	0,469	0,479	0,474	<b>0,473</b>	0,005	1,29

n	$\bar{X} = X_{PT}$ [%]	S <sub>PT</sub> [%]	S <sub>N</sub> [%]	U [%]
10	0,424	0,038		0,027



PT 33/9A - P

Results, statistical parameters and scoring

Výsledky, statistické parametry a scoring

Code	Method	Individual results % [wt. %], Jednotlivé výsledky [hm. %]					x [%]	u [%]
Kód	Metoda	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	average	repeatability
1		-						
3		-						
5		-						
9		-						
10		-						
11		-						
12		-						
13		-						
6	Photometric	0,0150	0,0150	0,0160	0,0160	0,0160	<b>0,0156</b>	0,0007
8	XRF	0,0168	0,0170	0,0161	0,0155	0,0154	<b>0,0162</b>	0,0009
2	XRF	0,0182	0,0186	0,0185	0,0185	0,0184	<b>0,0184</b>	0,0002
7	XRF	0,0230	0,0240	0,0160	0,0170	0,0180	<b>0,0196</b>	0,0045
4	ICP	0,0236	0,0234	0,0244	0,0247	0,0254	<b>0,0243</b>	0,0010

n	$\hat{X} = X_{PT}$ [%]	$S_{PT}$ [%]	$S_N$ [%]	U [%]
5	0,0188			


## PT 33/9A - Cr

### Results, statistical parameters and scoring

### Výsledky, statistické parametry a scoring

Code	Method	Individual results % [wt. %], Jednotlivé výsledky [hm. %]					x [%]	u [%]	Z <sub>PT</sub>
Kód	Metoda	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	average	repeatability	Z-score <sub>PT</sub>
3		-							
7		-							
10		-							
12		-							
9	XRF	0,042	0,038	0,042	0,041	0,041	<b>0,041</b>	0,002	-1,33
6	ICP	0,040	0,040	0,040	0,050	0,050	<b>0,044</b>	0,007	-1,00
8	XRF	0,047	0,047	0,046	0,047	0,047	<b>0,047</b>	0,001	-0,67
1	XRF-mobil	0,051	0,051	0,053	0,051	0,054	<b>0,052</b>	0,002	-0,11
2	XRF	0,054	0,055	0,055	0,056	0,056	<b>0,055</b>	0,001	0,22
11	XRF	0,057	0,057	0,054			<b>0,056</b>	0,004	0,33
13	XRF	0,056	0,056	0,058	0,059	0,058	<b>0,057</b>	0,002	0,44
4	ICP	0,055	0,057	0,056	0,058	0,057	<b>0,057</b>	0,001	0,44
5	XRF	0,072	0,073	0,068			<b>0,071</b>	0,007	2,00

n	$\bar{X} = X_{PT}$ [%]	S <sub>PT</sub> [%]	S <sub>N</sub> [%]	U [%]
9	0,053	0,009		0,007



PT 33/9A - Ti

Results, statistical parameters and scoring

Výsledky, statistické parametry a scoring

Code	Method	Individual results % [wt. %], Jednotlivé výsledky [hm. %]					x [%]	u [%]
Kód	Metoda	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	average	repeatability
3		-						
4		-						
5		-						
8		-						
9		-						
10		-						
12		-						
11	XRF	0,011	0,053	0,051			<b>0,038</b>	0,059
6	ICP	0,040	0,050	0,040	0,040	0,050	<b>0,044</b>	0,007
7	XRF	0,055	0,053	0,056	0,055	0,067	<b>0,057</b>	0,007
2	XRF	0,066	0,066	0,066	0,065	0,066	<b>0,066</b>	0,001
1	XRF-mobil	0,082	0,073	0,114	0,075	0,109	<b>0,091</b>	0,024
13	XRF	0,168	0,109	0,100	0,097	0,066	<b>0,108</b>	0,046

n	$\bar{x} = X_{PT}$ [%]	$S_{PT}$ [%]	$S_N$ [%]	U [%]
6	0,067			

PT 33/9A - Ca

Results, statistical parameters and scoring

Výsledky, statistické parametry a scoring

Code	Method	Individual results % [wt. %], Jednotlivé výsledky [hm. %]					x [%]	u [%]
Kód	Metoda	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	average	repeatability
3		-						
8		-						
9		-						
10		-						
12		-						
4	ICP	0,896	0,890	0,890	0,901	0,908	<b>0,897</b>	0,010
11	XRF	0,919	0,913	0,917			<b>0,916</b>	0,008
2	XRF	1,081	1,083	1,088	1,085	1,088	<b>1,085</b>	0,004
6	ICP	1,140	1,090	1,150	1,130	1,160	<b>1,134</b>	0,034
7	XRF	1,218	1,231	1,197	1,207	1,110	<b>1,193</b>	0,059
1	XRF-mobil	1,339	1,336	1,342	1,338	1,338	<b>1,339</b>	0,003
5	XRF	1,556	1,497	1,544			<b>1,532</b>	0,077
13	XRF	1,967	1,964	1,964	1,977	1,953	<b>1,965</b>	0,011

n	$\bar{X} = X_{PT}$ [%]	$S_{PT}$ [%]	$S_N$ [%]	U [%]
8	1,200			

**PT 33/9A - Sr**

**Results, statistical parameters and scoring**

**Výsledky, statistické parametry a scoring**

Code	Method	Individual results % [wt. %], Jednotlivé výsledky [hm. %]					x [%]	u [%]
Kód	Metoda	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	average	repeatability
2		-						
3		-						
4		-						
7		-						
8		-						
9		-						
10		-						
11		-						
12		-						
1	XRF-mobil	0,037	0,037	0,037	0,036	0,037	<b>0,037</b>	0,000
5	XRF	0,041	0,039	0,039			<b>0,040</b>	0,003
13	XRF	0,040	0,040	0,040	0,040	0,040	<b>0,040</b>	0,000
6	ICP	0,050	0,050	0,040	0,050	0,040	<b>0,046</b>	0,007

n	$\hat{X} = X_{PT}$ [%]	$S_{PT}$ [%]	$S_N$ [%]	U [%]
4	0,041			

## PT 33/9A - Ba

### Results, statistical parameters and scoring

### Výsledky, statistické parametry a scoring

Code	Method	Individual results % [wt. %], Jednotlivé výsledky [hm. %]					x [%]	u [%]	Z <sub>PT</sub>
Kód	Metoda	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	average	repeatability	Z-score <sub>PT</sub>
2		-							
3		-							
4		-							
7		-							
8		-							
9		-							
1	XRF-mobil	1,92	1,91	1,92	1,93	1,91	<b>1,92</b>	0,01	-2,29
6	ICP	2,10	2,07	2,12	2,13	2,11	<b>2,11</b>	0,03	-0,93
5	XRF	2,23	2,17	2,21			<b>2,20</b>	0,08	-0,29
12	Gravimetric	2,27	2,24	2,21	2,17	2,29	<b>2,24</b>	0,06	0,00
10	Gravimetric	2,23	2,21	2,31	2,24	2,28	<b>2,25</b>	0,05	0,07
11	XRF	2,29	2,31	2,32			<b>2,31</b>	0,04	0,50
13	XRF	2,35	2,32	2,30	2,32	2,31	<b>2,32</b>	0,02	0,57

n	$\bar{X} = X_{PT}$ [%]	S <sub>PT</sub> [%]	S <sub>N</sub> [%]	U [%]
7	2,24	0,14		0,13

